

**Wiesław Kordalski
Tomasz Stefański
Damian Trofimowicz**

**TIME- AND FREQUENCY-DOMAIN
QUASI-2D SMALL-SIGNAL
MOSFET MODELS**

Gdańsk 2019

GDAŃSK UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY PUBLISHING HOUSE
CHAIRMAN OF EDITORIAL BOARD

Janusz T. Cieśliński

EDITOR OF SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS

Michał Szydłowski

REVIEWERS

Ryszard Jan Barczyński

Andrzej Napieralski

LAYOUT, COVER DESIGN

Wioleta Lipska-Kamińska

Published under the permission
of the Rector of Gdańsk University of Technology

Gdańsk University of Technology publications may be purchased at
<http://www.pg.edu.pl/wydawnictwo/katalog>
orders should be sent to wydaw@pg.edu.pl

No part of this publication may be reproduced, transmitted, transcribed,
stored in a retrieval system or translated into any human or computer language
in any form by any means without permission in writing of the copyright holder.

© Copyright by Gdańsk University of Technology Publishing House
Gdańsk 2019

ISBN 978-83-7348-773-4

CONTENTS

Selected list of symbols and acronyms	5
1. Introduction (<i>W. Kordalski</i>)	9
2. Quasi-2D representation of the MOSFET (<i>W. Kordalski</i>)	16
2.1. Introduction	16
2.2. 2D–into–quasi-2D transformation of the MOSFET	16
2.2.1. Quasi-2D representation of the channel	16
2.2.2. Modified 2D dc MOSFET representation	26
2.2.3. Quasi-2D dc MOSFET representation	32
2.3. Conclusion	33
3. Time-domain model (<i>W. Kordalski</i>)	35
3.1. Introduction	35
3.2. MOSFET under small excitation	35
3.3. Quasi-2D continuity equation	38
3.3.1. Basic equations	38
3.3.2. Some simplifications	41
3.4. Quasi-2D Poisson’s equations	44
3.4.1. Equations for steady-state (dc) and small-signal conditions	44
3.4.2. Simplified equations	46
3.5. Transport equation	47
3.6. Terminal currents	49
3.6.1. Capacitive currents	49
3.6.2. Drain- and source-terminal non-capacitive currents	51
3.7. Gate-to-body capacitance C_{gb}	53
3.7.1. Preliminary remarks	53
3.7.2. Quasi-static gate-to-channel capacitance C_{gc}	55
3.7.3. Quasi-static body-to-channel capacitance C_{bc}	57
3.7.4. Gate-to-body capacitance C_{gb}	59
3.8. Supplementary equations and rules	61
3.9. Conclusion	63

4. Frequency-domain model (<i>W. Kordalski</i>)	65
4.1. Introduction	65
4.2. Formulation of time-domain equations	65
4.2.1. Continuity equation	66
4.2.2. Transport equation	66
4.2.3. Poisson's equation	68
4.3. Quasi-2D frequency-domain analysis	70
4.3.1. Frequency-domain equations	70
4.3.2. Solution for $p_1(\xi, j\omega)$	71
4.3.3. Wave phenomena in the channel	72
4.3.4. The electric field $E_1(\xi, j\omega)$	73
4.4. DIBL-included model	73
4.4.1. Non-capacitive terminal currents	73
4.4.2. Four-terminal equivalent circuit for an idealized MOSFET	87
4.4.3. Four-terminal equivalent circuit for the real MOSFET	88
4.5. Long-channel MOSFET model	89
4.6. Conclusion	89
5. Validation of the frequency-domain model (<i>W. Kordalski, T. Stefański, D. Trofimowicz</i>)	91
5.1. Introduction	91
5.2. Layout of the measured MOSFETs	91
5.3. Small-signal model of the measured MOSFETs	92
5.4. De-embedding procedure	93
5.5. Results of the verification up to f_T	94
5.6. Results of the verification in the range of up to thirteen times f_T	102
5.7. Conclusion	106
Summary in English	107
Summary in Polish	109
Appendix A. Derivation of quasi-2D continuity equation (<i>W. Kordalski</i>)	111
Appendix B. Derivation of quasi-2D Poisson's equation (<i>W. Kordalski</i>)	114
Appendix C. Quasi-static small-signal conductance g_{ds} (<i>W. Kordalski</i>)	117
Appendix D. Finding the hole concentration $p_1(\xi, j\omega)$ (<i>W. Kordalski</i>)	120
Appendix E. Finding the electric field $E_1(\xi, j\omega)$ (<i>W. Kordalski</i>)	121

SELECTED LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ACRONYMS

Symbols

Certain symbols which are used only locally within a section, or whose meaning is clear from the context, are not included in this list.

- C_{bd} – body-drain capacitance
- C_{bs} – body-source capacitance
- C_{bc} – quasi-static body-to-channel capacitance, Sec. 3.7.3
- C_{ds} – drain-source capacitance
- C_{gb} – gate-body capacitance
- C_{gc} – quasi-static gate-to-channel capacitance, Sec. 3.7.2
- C_{gd} – gate-drain capacitance
- C_{gs} – gate-source capacitance
- D_C – dynamic coupling factor of the channel, Sec. 3.3.1
- D^p – diffusivity of holes
- D_V – dynamic channel-to-current coupling factor, Sec. 3.3.1
- D_S – dynamic channel deformation factor, Sec. 3.3.1
- d_l – longitudinal dynamic carrier-to-channel coupling factor
- E** – electric field vector
- E – total longitudinal electric field in the channel
- E_0 – steady-state longitudinal electric field in the channel
- E_1 – small-signal longitudinal electric field component in the channel
- E_{CB} – total transverse electric field on the bottom channel surface, see Fig. 3.3
- E_{CB0} – steady-state transverse electric field on the bottom channel surface, see Fig. 3.3
- E_{cb} – small-signal transverse electric field component on the bottom channel surface, see Fig. 3.3
- E_{CG} – total transverse electric field on the top channel surface, see Fig. 3.3
- E_{CG0} – steady-state transverse electric field on the top channel surface, see Fig. 3.3
- E_{cg} – small-signal transverse electric field component on the top channel surface, see Fig. 3.3
- g_{ds} – quasi-static small-signal drain-source conductance
- g_{dsD} – DIBL part of g_{ds}
- g_{dso} – ohmic part of g_{ds}
- g_m – quasi-static gate small-signal transconductance
- g_{mb} – quasi-static body small-signal transconductance

I_{ch}	– channel current
i_b	– small-signal body-terminal current
i_d	– small-signal drain-terminal current
i_g	– small-signal gate-terminal current
i_s	– small-signal source-terminal current
\mathbf{J}	– current density vector field
J	– total conduction current density, see (3.60)
J_0	– steady-state conduction current density
J_1	– small-signal conduction current density
J_t	– total current density, see (3.59)
J_{tl}	– total small-signal current density, see (3.67)
J_{dis}	– displacement current density
J_{disl}	– small-signal displacement current density = displacement current density J_{dis}
L	– channel length
L_G	– gate length
k_D	– dimensionless factor, $k_D = g_{dsD} / g_{ds}$
N_d	– concentration of ionized donors
p	– total concentration of holes at Q-point, see (3.4)
p_0	– steady-state concentration of holes at Q-point
p_1	– small-signal concentration of holes at Q-point
Q_B	– total body charge per unit area at Q-point, see (3.13)
Q_{B0}	– steady-state body charge per unit area at Q-point
Q_b	– small-signal body charge per unit area at Q-point
Q_G	– total gate charge per unit area at Q-point, see (3.12)
Q_{G0}	– steady-state gate charge per unit area at Q-point
Q_g	– small-signal gate charge per unit area at Q-point
q	– magnitude of the elementary charge
q_b	– overall excess depletion region charge, see (3.143)
q_g	– overall excess gate charge, see (3.142)
S	– dimensionless parameter, see (4.2)
t_{ox}	– oxide thickness
V_{BC}	– dc body-channel voltage, see Fig. 3.5
V_{BS}	– dc body-source voltage
V_{CS}	– dc voltage drop across the channel, see Fig. 3.5
V_{DS}	– dc drain-source voltage
V_{GC}	– dc gate-channel voltage, see Fig. 3.5
V_{GS}	– dc gate-source voltage
V_T	– threshold voltage
v_{bs}	– small-signal body-source voltage
v_{ds}	– small-signal drain-source voltage
v_{gs}	– small-signal gate-source voltage
X	– total thickness of the channel at Q-point, see (3.6)
X_0	– steady-state thickness of the channel at Q-point

X_1	– small-signal thickness of the channel at Q-point
X_{ch}	– average channel thickness, see (4.16)
X_D	– channel thickness at the drain
X_d	– total thickness of the depletion region at Q-point, see (3.11)
X_{d0}	– steady-state thickness of the depletion region at Q-point
X_{d1}	– small-signal thickness of the depletion region at Q-point
X_S	– channel thickness at the source
y_{bs}	– small-signal body-source admittance, see (4.107)
y_{Db}	– small-signal body-to-source transadmittance, determined by DIBL see (4.123)
y_{Dg}	– small-signal gate-to-source transadmittance determined by DIBL, see (4.122)
y_{ds}	– small-signal drain-source admittance, see (4.121)
y_{gs}	– small-signal gate-source admittance, see (4.95)
y_m	– gate small-signal transadmittance, see (4.94)
y_{mb}	– body small-signal transadmittance, see (4.106)
W	– width of transistor
ϵ_0	– permittivity of free space
ϵ_s	– relative permittivity of silicon
η	– dimensionless factor, $\eta = g_{mb} / g_m$
ζ	– distance (from the source) along the channel
$\mu(\zeta, t)$	– total bias-dependent mobility of holes at Q-point, see (3.5)
$\mu_1(\zeta, t)$	– differential of μ_q at Q-point, see (3.70) and (4.8)
μ_d	– differential mobility at Q-point, see (4.10)
$\mu_q, \mu_q(\zeta)$	– mobility of holes at Q-point
τ	– relaxation time
τ_{tr}	– transit time of carriers across the channel
v	– velocity of carriers
ω	– angular frequency

Acronyms

2D	– Two-Dimensional
AC, ac	– Alternating Current
ACP	– Air Coplanar Probe
BSIM	– Berkeley Short-channel IGFET Model
CLE	– Channel-Lengthening Effect
CSE	– Channel-Shortening Effect
CTME	– Channel Thickness Modulation Effect
DC, dc	– Direct Current
DCTME	– Dynamic Channel Thickness Modulation Effect
DIBL	– Drain-Induced Barrier Lowering
GCA	– Gradual Channel Approximation
GCDE	– Gradual Channel Detachment Effect
HSDMAGFET	– Horizontally-Split-Drain Magnetic Field-Effect Transistor

MOS	– Metal Oxide Semiconductor
MOSFET	– Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistor
NQS	– Non-Quasi-Static
NQSCCPR	– Non-Quasi-Static Channel Charge Partition Rule
Q-point	– Quiescent Point
QS	– Quasi-Static
QSCCPR	– Quasi-Static Channel Charge Partition Rule
RF	– Radio Frequency
VNA	– Vector Network Analyzer

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Wiesław Kordalski

This monograph deals with modeling the small-signal operation of the MOS transistor, and presents original, not yet fully published, results of our research on time- and frequency-domain physics-based small-signal MOSFET models.

To design reliably circuits for communications in the range of radio or microwave frequencies, an adequate non-quasi-static (NQS) MOSFET model is indispensable. Quasi-static (QS) approaches do not accurately describe the operation of the MOSFET at high frequencies or under fast transients. This stems from the fact that the QS approximations assume the movable carriers in the channel of the transistor to respond instantaneously to the perturbations induced by a time-varying external bias, thereby neglecting the delay, dynamic properties of the channel and the coupling between the perturbed carrier beam and the transistor structure (the gate and the body).

Passing over the narrow-channel effects, the MOS transistor is inherently a two-dimensional (2D) device. Thus, to derive an NQS four-terminal small-signal MOSFET model valid in time and frequency domains, one should solve a closed set of partial differential equations, namely: continuity, transport and Poisson's equations. The set of equations cannot be exactly solved in the analytical form in 2D space, which implies the necessity for researchers to decompose the 2D problem into simplified ones.

An adequate model of the channel, especially its shape, is one of the most important issues in the derivation of a small-signal model of the transistor.

In models whose derivation is based, either explicitly or implicitly, on the gradual channel approximation (GCA), presented in e.g. [1–8], the shape of the channel is unrealistic, because its thickness decreases as the distance from the source of the transistor increases, see, e.g. [2–5]. The GCA is one of the assumptions which are most commonly put forward in analytical and semi-analytical approaches to the calculation of the value of the charge induced in the channel. The GCA amounts to the assumption that the surface density of the total uncompensated semiconductor charge, and thus of the channel, is determined only by the transversal electric field acting on the semiconductor surface. For this reason, apparent physical contradictions can appear if the approximation is used, which was pointed out, for instance, in [9–12]. It is the GCA that leads in consequence to such non-realistic phenomena

as the channel pinch-off or the channel-shortening effect (CSE). Therefore, a more comprehensive analysis on the channel shape is an obvious need.

In so-called charge-based or surface-potential-based models, e.g. [13–16], the channel is assumed to be a charge sheet of negligible thickness; however it is difficult to find physical reasons justifying this shape of the channel.

Some attempts were undertaken in order to overcome limitations imposed by the GCA. For example, the question of how changes of the longitudinal electric field component in the drain-to-source region affect the channel charge were considered in several works, e.g. [9–12], however, in each of them there were made some restrictive assumptions dealing with the shape of the depletion region. Namely, in all the works the depletion region and the channel were assumed to be rectangular, which is an unrealistic assumption.

In derivation of quasi-2D dc MOSFET models, which are briefly presented in [17–21], the MOSFET is considered as a 2D object in which the channel has also a 2D nature. The GCA is abandoned in this approach (non-GCA models). In description of these models, there are no such unrealistic terms as pinch-off and channel-shortening effect. According to these models, the channel has the shape of a curvilinear tetragon, and its thickness increases as the distance from the source of the transistor increases. This shape of the channel results from the qualitative and quantitative analysis carried out in detail in the next chapter. {It is worth mentioning that the key features of these quasi-2D dc MOSFET models are the main assumptions of the concept of a new horizontally-split-drain magnetic field-effect transistor (HSDMAGFET) described in works [22–26]}

Other few problems emerge when the exact knowledge of the small-signal behavior of the MOSFET and an adequate small-signal model of the device for radio and microwave frequencies are needed [27]. In addition to an appropriate channel model of the transistor, an adequate small-signal MOSFET model should take into account: the velocity saturation effect of carriers in the channel of the transistor; the field-dependent mobility; the electrical coupling between the perturbed charge in the channel and the gate and the body; local variations in the channel thickness; and the drain-induced barrier lowering (DIBL) effect.

Known small-signal MOSFET models used in designing integrated circuits in the radio frequency (RF) range can be split into two groups: quasi-static (QS) and non-quasi-static (NQS) models. The QS approaches do not aptly describe operation of the MOSFET at high frequencies or under fast transients. This stems from the fact that the QS approximations assume the movable carriers in the channel of the transistor to respond instantaneously to the perturbations induced by a time-varying external voltage, thereby neglecting the delay, dynamic properties of the channel, and the coupling between the perturbed carrier beam and the structure (the gate and the body). As a result, serious inconsistencies arise when the QS approach is used to modeling an RF MOS transistor. For instance, according to the model presented in [28], magnitudes of transadmittances of voltage-controlled current sources tend to infinity as frequency increases, which is an apparent contradiction.

To overcome the limitations, various models have been proposed in [29–36]. However, there are also weak points in those models. For instance, one can infer from the results presented in [32–35] that the magnitude of the gate transadmittance (y_m) does not decrease as the angular frequency ω tends to infinity. The widely used NQS BSIM3 model [36] is

a charge-based model developed on the channel charge relaxation time approach. Moreover, the channel of the MOSFET is modeled in work [36] as an RC distributed transmission line, which is not an adequate model of the transistor because any line of this type is not unilateral (i.e., the two-port admittance parameter $y_{12} \neq 0$). The intrinsic MOSFET (without parasitic elements) has to be unilateral ($y_{12} = 0$) for the reason that the charge carriers (electrons or holes) are injected only through the source-channel barrier potential. Besides, the transport equation for current carriers injected into the channel differs substantially from that for the current in the resistive layer of an RCLine (ohmic transport mechanism). This is the fundamental reason for which any RC-line-based small-signal model of the intrinsic MOSFET is not adequate.

A phenomenon that is not included in known small-signal models is the dynamic channel thickness modulation effect (DCTME), see, e.g. [29–31].

The DIBL effect is also not included in the vast majority of known small-signal models, see, e.g. [28–36], and if it is considered, the applicability of these models is limited to a low-frequency range. For example, this phenomenon is included in the model presented in [37], but the model is quasi-static and its validity is restricted to a quasi-static frequency range.

To surmount the above-mentioned weak points of existing models, an attempt has been made to derive from first principles a new DIBL-included physics-based quasi-2D NQS frequency-domain small-signal model of the four-terminal MOSFET operating at an arbitrarily located quiescent point (Q-point). The model is briefly reported in [27, 38–42]. The new model is valid from zero Hz to well above the cut-off frequency f_T and takes into account: the velocity saturation effect of carriers in the channel; the dependence of the mobility on electric field; the electrical coupling between the perturbed charge in the channel and the gate and the body; local variations in the channel thickness; and the DIBL effect. According to the author's knowledge, there is no small-signal model in the literature that takes into account all these effects together. Moreover, there are no non-reciprocal capacitances in the new model, and the GCA is abandoned.

The purpose of this monograph is to present a detailed derivation and results of experimental verification of the new time- and frequency-domain quasi-2D NQS four-terminal small-signal MOSFET models which take into account the DIBL effect.

The monograph is arranged as follows.

The purpose of Chapter 2 is to give a physical background to the new time- and frequency-domain small-signal models. Theoretical discussion and results of numerical analysis in 2D space are given in order to introduce the following three phenomena: gradual channel detachment effect (GCDE), channel thickness modulation effect (CTME), and channel-lengthening effect (CLE). Based on these phenomena, a quasi-2D dc channel representation and a quasi-2D dc representation of the MOSFET are defined.

In Chapter 3, a novel quasi-2D NQS four-terminal time-domain small-signal MOSFET model is presented. A set of partial differential equations for the new physics-based small-signal model is derived. The set consists of a quasi-2D small-signal continuity equation, a quasi-2D small-signal Poisson's equation, and a quasi-2D small-signal transport equation. All the equations give a mathematical description of the behavior of the carriers

in the channel and charges in the gate and the body. A set of supplementary equations for coupling and non-capacitive displacement currents in the MOSFET under dynamic operation is also derived. Based on the quasi-2D dc MOSFET representation, a useful formula for the gate-to-body capacitance C_{gb} is derived, and some rules dealing with channel-to-gate and channel-to-body coupling currents are established. Reciprocal capacitances occurring in this model are defined. The model we propose in this chapter provides the background to a novel frequency-domain small-signal MOSFET model.

In Chapter 4, a novel DIBL-included quasi-2D NQS four-terminal frequency-domain small-signal MOSFET model is proposed. The model takes into account: the velocity saturation effect of carriers in the channel; the dependence of the mobility on electric field; the electrical coupling between the perturbed charge in the channel and the gate and the body; local variations in the channel thickness; and the DIBL effect. Unlike other models, this one is composed only of reciprocal capacitances. A closed set of partial differential equations defining the model in the time domain is formulated and solved in the frequency domain. The solution indicates that two types of waves can propagate from the source to the drain, viz., a longitudinal wave of a disturbance in the carrier density and a transverse wave of a disturbance in the channel thickness. A closed set of equations for frequency-domain non-capacitive terminal currents in the MOSFET under dynamic operation is also derived.

In Chapter 5, the results of experimental verification of the new DIBL-included quasi-2D NQS four-terminal frequency-domain small-signal MOSFET model are presented. For the purpose of the verification, test transistors and dummy structures were designed and fabricated in 0.35- μm technology. The de-embedding procedure is based on the open-short method, optimized for RF measurement up to 30 GHz of scattering parameters of the transistors in the common source configuration with the use of air coplanar probes (ACPs).

The last part includes a summary.

References

- [1] Watts J., McAndrew C. C., Enz C., Galup-Montoro C., Gildenblat G., Hu C., Langevelde R., Miura-Mattausch M., Rios R., and Sah C. T., "Advanced compact models for MOSFETs," NSTI-Nanotech 2005, available: <http://www.nsti.org>.
- [2] Tsividis Y. P. and McAndrew C., *The MOS transistor*, Int. 3rd ed., Oxford University Press, New York, Oxford, 2012.
- [3] Sze C. M. and Ng K. K., *Physics of semiconductor devices*, 3rd ed., Wiley and Sons, 2007.
- [4] Shockley W., "A unipolar "field-effect" transistor," *Proc. of the I.R.E.*, vol. 40, pp. 1365–1376, 1952.
- [5] Hauser J. R., "A new and improved physics-based model for MOS transistors," *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 52, No. 12, pp. 2640–2647, 2005.
- [6] Chauhan Y. S., Venugopalan S., Chalkiadaki M.-A., Karim M. A. U., Agarwal H., Khandelwal S., Paydavosi N., Duarte J. P., Enz C. C., Niknejad A. M., and Hu C.,

- “BSIM6: analog and RF compact model for Bulk MOSFET,” *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 61, pp. 234–244, 2014.
- [7] Cheng Y., Jeng M.-C., Liu Z., Huang J., Chan M., Chen K., Ko P. K., and Hu C., “A physical and scalable I - V model in BSIM3v3 for analog/digital circuit simulation,” *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 44, pp. 277–287, 1997.
- [8] Enz C., “An MOS transistor model for RF IC design valid in all regions of operation,” *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 50, pp. 342–359, 2002.
- [9] Zhang Q. Z. and Schroder D. K., “A new long-channel MOSFET model,” *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 30, pp. 859–888, 1987.
- [10] Conti M. and Turcheti C., “On the short-channel theory for MOS transistor,” *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 38, pp. 2657–2661, 1991.
- [11] Fujishima M. and Asada K., “A nonpinchoff gradual channel model for deep-submicron MOSFETs,” *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 40, pp. 1883–1885, 1993.
- [12] Jang S.-L., Hu M.-C., and Chen Y.-S., “Current-voltage model of short-channel MOSFETs operated in the linear region,” *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 38, pp. 1239–1245, 1995.
- [13] Brews J. R., “A charge sheet model for the MOSFET,” *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 21, pp. 345–355, 1978.
- [14] Cunha A. I. A., Schneider M. C., and Galup-Montoro C., “An explicit physical model for the long-channel MOS transistor including small-signal parameters,” *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 38, pp. 1945–1952, 1995.
- [15] Sallese J.-M., Bucher M., Krummenacher F., and Fazan P., “Inversion charge linearization in MOSFET modeling and rigorous derivation of the EKV compact model,” *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 47, pp. 677–683, 2003.
- [16] Basu D. and Dutta A. K., “An explicit surface-potential-based MOSFET model incorporating the quantum mechanical effects,” *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 50, pp. 1299–1309, 2006.
- [17] Kordalski W., “Unified model of the enhancement-mode MOS transistor,” *20th European Solid State Device Research Conference*, (ESSDERC’90), pp. 603–606, September 10–13, 1990, Nottingham, United Kingdom.
- [18] Kordalski W., “Two-dimensional effects in the MOSFET,” *Proc. of the 19th National Conference on Circuit Theory and Electronic Circuits*, pp. 239–244, October 23–26, 1996, Krakow-Krynica, Poland.
- [19] Kordalski W., Koziel S., and Wilamowski B. M., “An analytical DC model of the enhancement-mode, non-uniformly doped MOS transistor,” *Proc. of the 1997 European Conference on Circuit Theory and Design, ECCTD’97*, vol. 2, pp. 743–748, 30th August – 3rd September, 1997, Budapest, Hungary.
- [20] Koziel S., Kordalski W., and Wilamowski B. M., “A scalable I-V MOSFET model for analog/digital circuit simulation,” *Proc. of the 22nd National Conference on Circuit Theory and Electronic Circuits*, pp. 247–252, October 20–23, 1999, Warszawa-Stare Jablonki, Poland.
- [21] Kordalski W. and Stefanski T., “Compatible DC and small-signal MOSFET models for radio and microwave frequency simulation,” in *Proc. of the 12th International Conf.:*

- Mixed Design of Integrated Circuits and systems – MIXDES'2005*, pp. 315–320, June 22–25, 2005, Krakow, Poland.
- [22] Kordalski W., Boratyński B., Panek M., Ściana B., Zborowska-Lindert I., and Tłaczała M.: “Magnetic Field Microsensor based on GaAs MESFET,” *Proc. of the International Conference on Applied Physics of Condensed Matter, APCOM 2007*, pp. 36–39, June 27–29, 2007, Bystra, Slovak Republic.
- [23] Kordalski W., Polowczyk M., and Panek M., “Horizontally-split-drain MAGFET – a highly sensitive magnetic field sensor,” *Bull. Pol. Ac.: Tech.*, vol. 55, No. 3, pp. 325–329, 2007.
- [24] Boratyński B., Kordalski W., Ściana B., Panek M., and Zborowska-Lindert I., “A new drain insulation design in GaAs SD-MAGFET,” *Materials Science-Poland*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 27–32, 2008.
- [25] Kordalski W., Boratyński B., and Panek M., “Properties and estimated parameters of a submicrometer HSDMAGFET,” *Materials Science-Poland*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 33–43, 2008.
- [26] Kordalski W., Boratyński B., Zborowska-Lindert I., Panek M., Ściana B., and Tłaczała M., *Magnetic field sensor type MagFET*, Polish Patent PL210965(B1) (2012-03-30); Int. Cl G01R 33/02 (2006.01).
- [27] Kordalski W. and Stefanski T., “A non-quasi-static small-signal MOSFET model for radio and microwave frequencies including spreading gate resistances and capacitances,” in *Proc. IEEE Radio Frequency Integrated Circuits (RFIC) Symposium*, pp. 365–368, June 8–10, 2003, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.
- [28] Enz C. C. and Cheng Y., “MOS transistor modeling for RF IC design,” *IEEE Trans. on Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 186–201, 2000.
- [29] Sallese J. M. and Porret A. S., “A novel approach to charge-based non-quasi-static model of the MOS transistor valid in all modes of operation,” *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 44, pp. 887–894, 2000.
- [30] Roy A. S., Enz C. C., and Sallese J.-M., “Compact modeling of anomalous high-frequency behavior of MOSFET’s small-signal NQS parameters in presence of velocity saturation,” *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 2044–2050, 2006.
- [31] Aarts A. C. T., Smit G. D., Scholten A. J., and Klaassen D. B. M., “A PSP-based small-signal MOSFET model for both quasi-static and nonquasi-static operation,” *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 1424–1432, 2008.
- [32] Materka A. and Kacprzak T., “Computer calculation of large-signal GaAs FET amplifier characteristics,” *IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 129–135, 1985.
- [33] Lee S., Kim C. S., and Yu H. K., “A small-signal RF model and its parameter extraction for substrate effects in RF MOSFETs,” *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 48, no. 7, pp. 1769–1775, 1998.
- [34] Manku T., “Microwave CMOS-device physics and design,” *IEEE J. of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 277–285, 1999.
- [35] Sung R., Bendix P., and Das M. B., “Extraction of high-frequency equivalent circuit parameters of submicron gate-length MOSFET’s,” *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. 1769–1775, 1998.

-
- [36] Chan M., Hui K. Y., Hu C., and Ko P. K., “A robust and physical BSIM3 non-quasi-static transient and ac small-signal model for circuit simulation,” *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 834–841, 1998.
- [37] Liu S. and Nagel L. W., “Small-signal MOSFET models for analog circuit design,” *IEEE J. of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 983–998, 1982.
- [38] Kordalski W., “A quasi-2D small-signal MOSFET model – main results”, *Electronics*, no. 9/2014, pp. 48–51, [in Polish]. DOI: 10.15199/ELE-2014-131.
- [39] Stefański T., Kordalski W., and Hauer H., “An experimental verification of a new non-quasi-static small-signal MOSFET model for radio and microwave frequencies,” *[CD-ROOM] Proceedings ICCSC 2004. The 2nd IEEE International Conference on Circuits and Systems for Communications*, June 30-July 2, 2004 Moscow, Russia.
- [40] Kordalski W.J. and Stefański T.: “A Non-Quasi-Static Small-Signal Model of the Four-Terminal MOSFET for Radio and Microwave Frequencies,” *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Circuits Syst. for Communications, ICCSC’2002*, pp. 313–319, June 26–28, 2002, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- [41] Kordalski W.: “An Injection Non-Quasi-Static Small-Signal MOSFET Model,” *Proc. of the International Conference on Signals and Electronic Systems, ICSES’2000*, pp. 307–312, October 17–20, 2000, Ustroń, Poland.
- [42] Kordalski W., Stefański T., and Blakiewicz G.: “A Non-Quasi-Static Small-Signal MOSFET Model for RF and Microwave Circuits,” *Proc. of the International Conference on Signals and Electronic Systems, ICSES’2000*, pp. 313–318, October 17–20, 2000, Ustroń, Poland.